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Abstract

This research examined the relationship between social network site (SNS) intensity, SNS addiction, and the severity of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), alongside its factors of obsession and compulsion. The overlap of SNS intensity and SNS addiction was controlled in the study to predict the measured severity of OCD. In this study, 204 Malaysian undergraduate students were recruited to complete the revised Facebook Intensity Scale, the revised Bergen Facebook Addiction Scale, and the self-report version of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale. The overlap of SNS intensity and SNS addiction was supported by their significant positive correlation. Furthermore, SNS addiction significantly correlated with the measured OCD and its corresponding factors. The hierarchical regression analysis revealed that the entry of SNS intensity enhanced the facilitative effect of SNS addiction on OCD and its factors. Therefore, the role of SNS intensity as a suppressor was supported. In the same regression model, SNS intensity predicted the severity of OCD and its compulsion subscale negatively. Implications and directions for future research were also discussed in this manuscript.
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Introduction

Social network sites (SNS) have formed a significant part of people's lives in the contemporary world to the extent that SNS users are heavily dependent on these platforms (Kuss & Griffiths, 2017). The ubiquitous SNS have been a central research area, and empirical investigations have widely examined the possible antecedents that stimulate their popularity (e.g., Scott, 2014), as well as the potentially harmful effects from prolonged exposure to these online platforms (e.g., Andreassen et al., 2016). When the notion of Facebook depression was first introduced (O'Keeffe et al., 2011), researchers invested various empirical efforts to determine the validity of this concern (e.g., Pantic et al., 2012). However, mixed findings have been suggested; certain efforts supported the possibility of developing depression through prolonged exposure to SNS (e.g., Pantic et al., 2012), while another stream of research concluded that this concern is premature (e.g., Jelenchick et al., 2013). Subsequent studies further revealed that the prolonged use of SNS is associated with other clinical symptoms, and research outcomes have supported the likelihood to develop symptoms of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD; Andreassen et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2015). The correlational design employed in a study by Lee et al. (2015), suggested that Facebook overuse stimulates cognitive patterns that are exclusive to OCD, eventually inducing higher levels of OCD severity. The subsequent analysis also showed that bidirectionality was not evident, further supporting the facilitative role of Facebook overuse. In the recent Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), the diagnosis of OCD requires the presence of both or one of the corresponding symptoms of obsessions (recurrent and persistent stress-inducing thoughts) or compulsions (ritual-like behaviours performed...
rigidly to alleviate the distress from obsessions). Although the link between SNS and OCD has been supported empirically, it remains underappreciated; hence, necessitating more comprehensive efforts to expand this research topic (see Hussain & Griffiths, 2018). Given this circumstance, the present research intends to examine the conceptual link between SNS use and OCD.

This study utilised standardised measures to measure the use of SNS in order to avoid any complications. Although the duration of SNS use can be conceptually relevant to OCD, this research did not incorporate this element as an indicator of SNS use for the following reasons: (1) the estimated time spent on online platforms such as Facebook may not be conceptually meaningful, i.e. it fails to convey any meaningful interpretations (McCord et al., 2014; Rosen et al., 2013; Selfhout et al., 2009; Sheldon, 2008); (2) this form of estimation lacks validity since users tend to overestimate their time spent using SNS (Junco, 2013); (3) the invariant unit of estimation (e.g., time spent in minutes or hours per day) hinder interpretations and comparability of the results (Anderson et al., 2012; Rosen et al., 2013). Considering these limitations, this research utilised two standardised measures that evaluated different aspects of SNS usage.

**SNS Intensity**

The Facebook Intensity Scale (FIS) is a brief measure that was devised as an alternative to frequency and duration estimations of Facebook use (Ellison et al., 2007). As the pioneer of SNS measures, the FIS can be considered a common indicator of SNS engagement (Sigerson & Cheng, 2018). In previous empirical attempts, the wordings of these items were changed to fit the broad context of SNS (e.g., Lee et al., 2016). The term 'intensity' refers to active usage, emotional connection to the platform, and the degree of integration of the platform into daily life (see Ellison et al., 2007). This scale was employed in research that examined the relationship of SNS intensity and social capital, and results have supported the facilitative effect of SNS intensity on social capital amongst those with low self-esteem (Steinfield et al., 2008) and low self-satisfaction (Ellison et al., 2007; Steinfield et al., 2008). Findings also suggested that high SNS engagement increases life satisfaction and social trust (e.g., Valenzuela et al., 2009). Overall, it can be observed that past research has acknowledged that SNS intensity may have a positive influence on users' psychological (e.g., Valenzuela et al., 2009) and social well-being (e.g., Ellison et al., 2007). Therefore, high levels of SNS engagement do not lead to clinical conditions such as depression, anxiety, and stress (Labrague, 2014). Based on previous findings that supported the benefits of SNS intensity on different aspects of users' well-being including social capital (e.g., Ellison et al., 2007), the current research postulates SNS intensity as a form of adaptive SNS use.

**SNS Intensity and OCD**

The intensity of SNS usage, which reflects on the overall SNS engagement (Sigerson & Cheng, 2018), can be conceptually relevant to OCD. Previous studies have posited that active SNS usage may escalate into SNS addiction (Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Orosz et al., 2016; Xie & Karan, 2019), as the manifested behavioural addiction has been linked to OCD (Lee et al., 2015). It is theoretically plausible that the active use of SNS is a manifestation of OCD. Nonetheless, based on the reviewed findings, it is counterintuitive to expect a link between SNS intensity and the severity of OCD. In particular, this form of usage can be conceptually adaptive to users, as exemplified by previous literature that supported its facilitative effect on users' social well-being (e.g., Ellison et al., 2007).

Accordingly, it must be emphasised that high levels of SNS engagement do not necessarily translate into maladaptive aspects (Labrague, 2014); hence, this contradicts with the claim which described SNS engagement as a prerequisite to SNS addiction (Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Orosz et al., 2016; Xie & Karan, 2019). To resolve this uncertainty, the current research will further explore the association between SNS intensity and OCD. Postulating SNS intensity as a form of adaptive SNS use, this study anticipates a non-significant relationship between SNS intensity and the measured severity of OCD.

**SNS Addiction**

The notion of addiction towards SNS was first investigated by Wilson et al. (2010) who characterised the phenomenon according to three components of saliency, loss of control, and withdrawal. Subsequent research
conducted by Andreassen et al. (2012) proposed six components of Facebook addiction, namely saliency, mood modification, tolerance, withdrawal, conflict, and relapse. These researchers also developed a brief measure known as the Bergen Facebook Addiction Scale (BFAS) to gauge this specific form of behavioural addiction, in which the scale has stimulated substantial progress in this area of research. The BFAS has also been recommended as a reliable measure of SNS since it has been properly validated (Sigerson & Cheng, 2018). Empirical findings revealed the maladaptive aspects of SNS addiction such that it corresponds to poor psychological functioning, including low life satisfaction (e.g., Biolcati et al., 2018; Satici, 2019; Satici & Uysal, 2015) and low self-esteem (e.g., Uysal et al., 2013). Further research also implied that this form of behavioural addiction intensifies the severity of clinical disorders, such as depression (e.g., da Veiga et al., 2019; Foroughi et al., 2019). It is also worth highlighting that the terms SNS addiction and problematic SNS use are used interchangeably in the literature (e.g., Satici & Uysal, 2015). Therefore, this research postulates that SNS addiction represents a form of maladaptive use of SNS.

**SNS Addiction and OCD**

It must be emphasised that the link between SNS addiction and OCD has been supported by previous empirical studies (Andreassen et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2015). This relationship is plausible such that users may have a constant urge to check their profiles for updates (Andreassen et al., 2016) which is possibly resulted from unrealistic catastrophic thoughts (Lee et al., 2015). These findings are also theoretically consistent with the existing findings on OCD. Kashyap et al. (2012) claimed that the severity of OCD is dependent on individuals’ capacity to regulate and monitor the apparent symptoms. One’s failure to regulate the use of SNS is an indication of poor self-regulation and high levels of impulsivity (e.g., Fowler et al., 2020), which further intensifies the severity of OCD (Kashyap et al., 2012). Therefore, it can be argued that SNS addiction is more conceptually related to OCD compared to SNS intensity. Considering this relationship, the present research anticipates a significant positive relationship between SNS addiction and the severity of OCD.

**SNS Intensity and SNS Addiction**

Although SNS intensity and SNS addiction differ in terms of definitions, empirical evidence has identified the overlap of these phenomena. According to Kuss and Griffiths (2017), there is a subtle distinction between habitual regular use and problematic addictive use of SNS. This is demonstrated by the robust positive correlation of SNS intensity and SNS addiction (Blachnio et al., 2015; Blachnio et al., 2017; Blachnio & Przepiorka, 2016; Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Pantic, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Brailovskaia et al., 2019; Orosz et al., 2016; Przepiorka & Blachnio, 2016; Turley et al., 2019). This correlation further suggests that high levels of SNS intensity increase the risk of SNS addiction (Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Pantic, 2016; Przepiorka & Blachnio, 2016). Therefore, it is conceptually deduced that SNS intensity is a prerequisite to SNS addiction, but not vice-versa (Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Orosz et al., 2016; Xie & Karan, 2019).

As suggested by the reviewed findings, these aspects relate differently to the same outcome. With regard to life satisfaction, it has been shown that SNS intensity increases life satisfaction (e.g., Valenzuela et al., 2009), while SNS addiction decreases the same aspect of satisfaction (e.g., Satici & Uysal, 2015). Hence, these findings suggest that SNS intensity and SNS addiction are two distinct concepts despite the overlap that has been suggested previously (e.g., Blachnio & Przepiorka, 2016). Nevertheless, it should be emphasised that this overlap requires a more comprehensive investigation since it can cause the empirical research outcome to be muddled. For instance, SNS intensity was identified as a factor that simultaneously facilitates (e.g., Valenzuela et al., 2009) and deteriorates (e.g., Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016) the degree of life satisfaction.

The lack of consistency in such findings implies that the construct of SNS intensity is overlapping with another theoretical construct. As discussed previously, a unidimensional measure can contain multiple components that obscure the theoretical construct of interest (Watson et al., 2013). Given this situation, it is highly plausible that SNS intensity is overlapping with SNS addiction (Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Pantic, 2016; Przepiorka & Blachnio, 2016) and the shared variance of these two individual aspects may have obscured the actual discrepancy (e.g., Watson et al., 2013), further confounding the research findings and their subsequent interpretations. The overlap of these constructs can be explained through the notion of “jingle-jangle fallacies” (Marsh, 1994). Jingle fallacy refers to an inaccurate assumption that two separate measures represent an identical concept (Thorndike, 1904), whereas
The jangle fallacy is the assumption that two different measures signify two separate constructs when in fact they capture the same or closely related construct (Kelley, 1927). Accordingly, the assumption that SNS intensity is embedded in SNS addiction (Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Orosz et al., 2016; Xie & Karan, 2019) suggests a tendency to succumb to jingle fallacy (Thorndike, 1904). Therefore, this research has conducted suppressor analyses to differentiate these two overlapping dimensions.

Suppression Effects

While a consensus is yet to be reached concerning defining suppression, it should be noted that its conceptualisation varies across the literature (Gaylord-Harden et al., 2010). Suppressor variable is a variable that enhances the predictive power of a predictive model in multiple regression (Horst, 1941). Although it does not relate meaningfully to the outcome, it forms a meaningful relationship with another predictor (see Horst, 1941). Horst (1941) also explained that the entry of the suppressor, i.e. the variable that has no meaningful relationship with the outcome variable, controls for irrelevant variance in the other predictor, consequently enhancing its predictive power. Apart from Horst's (1941) classical suppressor, other types of suppressor effects include reciprocal (Conger, 1974) or cooperative suppression (J. Cohen & P. Cohen, 1975), and net (J. Cohen & P. Cohen, 1975) or cross-over suppression (Paulhus et al., 2004). Reciprocal or cooperative suppression occurs when the two predictors correlate positively with each other but correlate negatively with the outcome, or both predictors are inversely correlated but correlate positively with the outcome (J. Cohen & P. Cohen, 1975; Conger, 1974). In any of these cases, the inclusion of the identified suppressor increases the beta weights of the predictors. Net or cross-over suppression occurs when the predictors and the outcome are positively correlated, and the inclusion of both predictors increases the beta weight of the stronger predictor and changes the sign of the weaker predictor (J. Cohen & P. Cohen, 1975). Despite the criticisms including frequent failures to replicate suppression effects (e.g., Paulhus et al., 2004; Wiggins, 1973) and the limited practical value of these findings (Wiggins, 1973), suppressor analyses are valuable in consolidating theories (Cheung & Lau, 2008) and in clarifying theoretical constructs of overlapping variables (Paulhus et al., 2004; Watson et al., 2013). Accordingly, the present research can be a valuable addition to the literature such that the vague and ill-defined constructs of SNS will be clarified (e.g., Frost & Rickwood, 2017).

The Present Research

This research intends to examine the relationships between SNS intensity, SNS addiction, and the measured severity of OCD by exploring the adaptive aspects of SNS intensity and the pathology of SNS addiction. Since the overlap of these two constructs is contrasting the present argument, suppressor analyses will be conducted to disentangle this overlap. Based on previous findings, SNS addiction is expected to demonstrate significant positive relationships with SNS intensity (e.g., Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Pantic, 2016) and the severity of OCD (Andreassen et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2015). Furthermore, it must be noted that following Horst's (1941) criteria, SNS addiction is not a suppressor variable. On the other hand, SNS intensity does fulfil the criteria of suppressor variable proposed by Horst (1941) as it is expected to correlate with SNS addiction (e.g., Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Pantic, 2016), but not with the measured severity of OCD. Its link with the severity of OCD can be dismissed since previous findings have demonstrated that SNS intensity did not form any meaningful relationships with pathological aspects such as depression, anxiety, and stress (Labrague, 2014). Therefore, this research posits that the entry of SNS intensity will affect the explanatory power of SNS addiction in predicting the severity of OCD, exemplifying the case of classical suppression described by Horst (1941).

Method

Participants

In this research, 204 Malaysian undergraduate students were successfully recruited to be the research participants. The majority of these individuals identified themselves as female (n = 124, 61%), followed by male (n = 77, 38%), and those who refused to disclose their gender (n = 3, 1%). The final sample consisted mainly of Chinese (n = 99, 49%), followed by Malays (n = 79, 39%), those from other ethnic groups (n = 18, 9%), and Indians (n = 8, 4%). The mean age was 22.93 (SD = 3.43). Table 1 summarises these details.
Table 1. Summary of Participants’ Demographics (N = 204).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>77 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>124 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused to disclose</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>79 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>99 (49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>8 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>18 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>22.93 (3.43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Procedure

This research was initially advertised in the lecture halls and classrooms of an international university branch in Malaysia. Participants were informed that this research was open to (1) SNS users (2) who are Malaysians (3) at the legal age of 18 and above. Data were collected between the duration of March 2017 – March 2018. Any potential participants were invited to contact the researcher in order to complete the questionnaire for this research. Recruiting non-clinical sample such as undergraduate students does not contradict the aim of this research which particularly targets young adults who are active users of SNS (e.g., Kim & Shen, 2020) since obsessiveness and compulsiveness are also common in non-clinical populations (Gibbs, 1996; Rachman & de Silva, 1978; Steketee et al., 1996).

The participants were also informed of the purpose of this research, their basic rights to confidentiality and anonymity, and relevant procedures involved if they decided to withdraw from this study. Implied consent marked by the submission of responses was sought instead of informed consent. Since no identifier was assigned to the printed questionnaires to ensure anonymity, participants were allowed to withdraw before submitting the questionnaires. It should be mentioned that monetary reward was neither offered nor given to any of the research participants to ensure voluntary participation. Lastly, ethical approval for the research was granted by MUHEC.

Outliers were identified with the following criteria: (1) z score larger than 3.29, (2) a p < .001 for Mahalanobis' distance, and (3) a Cook’s distance larger than 1.00 (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012). Two univariate outliers were found for Obsessive and Compulsive subscales, respectively. These values were then transformed to a smaller value to reduce their impact. No multivariate outlier was found, as suggested by the Mahalanobis’ distance and Cook’s distance. Normality was assumed if the variables exhibited skewness < ±2 and kurtosis < ±3 (Kline, 2005). The range of skewness (-.24 to .46) and kurtosis (-.49 to .04) indicated that these variables were normally distributed.

Measures

SNS intensity was measured with the adapted FIS (Ellison et al., 2007), and this scale comprised of eight items representing attitudinal and emotional aspects of SNS use. Six of these items were rated using a 5-point scale (1 = Strongly disagree, 5 = Strongly agree). Similarly, the items that reflect on the number of friends (1 = 0 – 50, 5 = More than 400) and the amount of time spent on SNS (1 = 10 – 30 minutes, 5 = More than 3 hours) were rated using a 5-point scale. All of these items were also reworded to match the broad context of SNS (e.g., SNS are part of my everyday activity; see Lee et al., 2016). The Cronbach’s α of this scale was .79.

SNS addiction was measured with the adapted BFAS (Andreassen et al., 2012), and this scale comprised six items that reflected on the addictive use of SNS. These items were also rated using a 5-point scale (1 = Very rarely, 5 = Very often), and they were reworded to match the broad context of SNS (e.g., How often during the last year did you use SNS to forget about personal problems?). The Cronbach’s α of this scale was .85.
The severity of OCD was measured using the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Goodman, Price, Rasmussen, Mazure, Delgado, et al., 1989; Goodman, Price, Rasmussen, Mazure, Fleischmann, et al., 1989), and this research utilised the self-report version (Steketee et al., 1996). This scale comprised ten items (α = .88), with five of these items forming the obsession component (e.g., How much have your obsessive thoughts caused you distress?; α = .82) and the remaining five items forming the compulsion component (e.g., How much of an effort did you make to resist the compulsions?; α = .78). These items were rated based on a 5-point scale (e.g., 0 = None, 4 = Incapacitating). Although these measures were used primarily with clinical patients (e.g., Goodman, Price, Rasmussen, Mazure, Delgado, et al., 1989), empirical evidence revealed that they could be used with non-clinical samples (Steketee et al., 1996), including undergraduate students (e.g., Seol et al., 2013).

Results

Descriptive Statistics and Correlations

Means, standard deviations, and the correlation coefficients for Pearson's correlation are summarised in Table 2. Correlation coefficients that ranged from .10 to .30 were interpreted as small, .30 to .50 were interpreted as moderate, and those larger than .50 were interpreted as large (J. Cohen, 1988). The analysis revealed that SNS intensity was positively correlated with SNS addiction such that the correlation coefficients indicated a strong relationship between SNS intensity and SNS addiction. The measured severity of OCD and its corresponding subscales were all significantly correlated with SNS addiction. However, the measured severity of OCD and its factors of obsession and compulsion did not correlate significantly with SNS intensity. Despite the strong relationship between SNS intensity and SNS addiction, the correlation coefficient was less than .70, suggesting the absence of multicollinearity (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2012). Moreover, the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) that ranged between 1.46 and 2.37 did not exceed the imposed threshold of 10, indicating the absence of multicollinearity (Farrar & Glauber, 1967).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>M (SD)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SNS addiction</td>
<td>15.19 (5.28)</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SNS intensity</td>
<td>26.07 (6.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. OCD</td>
<td>10.62 (6.48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.93**</td>
<td>.93**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Obsessive</td>
<td>5.87 (3.48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.73**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Compulsive</td>
<td>4.74 (3.48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *p < .05, **p < .01.

Hierarchical Regression

Two predictive models were tested to address the research aim. In the first model, SNS addiction was entered in the first step and subsequently followed by SNS intensity. This was conducted to determine whether or not SNS intensity is a suppressor variable. In the second model, the order of entry of these predictors was reversed, whereby the measured SNS intensity was entered in the first step, followed by SNS addiction. The significance of these suppressor effects was then evaluated using the Sobel test (see MacKinnon et al., 2000; Paulhus et al., 2004). The results of the hierarchical regression are summarised in Table 3. In general, when the measured SNS addiction and SNS intensity were entered into the same predictive model, results indicated that SNS addiction predicted greater severity of OCD and its corresponding symptoms, whereas SNS intensity predicted these aspects negatively.

In the first model, SNS addiction that was entered in the first step significantly predicted the measured severity of OCD (β = .33, p < .001), the degree of obsession (β = .35, p < .001), and compulsiveness (β = .27, p < .001). In the subsequent step, the entry of the measured SNS intensity enhanced the coefficients of SNS addiction in predicting the severity of OCD (β = .42, p < .001), the degree of obsession (β = .42, p < .001) and compulsiveness (β = .36, p < .001). In the same step, SNS intensity significantly predicted the severity of OCD (β = -.17, p < .001) and compulsiveness (β = -.18, p < .001). The negative coefficients suggested that SNS intensity reduced the severity of
OCD and the degree of compulsiveness. Notwithstanding its negative effect, SNS intensity did not significantly predict the obsessive aspect of OCD ($\beta = -0.14, p > 0.05$). The Sobel test supported the significance of the suppressor effects, except for the effects of SNS addiction and SNS intensity on the obsessive subscale.

In the second model, SNS intensity that was entered in the first step did not significantly predict the measured severity of OCD ($\beta = 0.05, p > 0.05$), obsession ($\beta = 0.09, p > 0.05$), and compulsiveness ($\beta = 0.02, p > 0.05$). With the entry of SNS addiction, the intensity of SNS use emerged as significant predictors of the measured severity of OCD ($\beta = -0.17, p < 0.05$) and compulsiveness ($\beta = -0.18, p < 0.05$). The negative coefficients suggested that SNS intensity reduces the severity of OCD and compulsiveness. However, it did not significantly predict the obsession aspect of OCD ($\beta = -0.14, p > 0.05$). In this model, SNS addiction significantly predicted the severity of OCD ($\beta = 0.42, p < 0.001$), the degree of obsession ($\beta = 0.42, p < 0.001$) and compulsion ($\beta = 0.36, p < 0.001$). These positive coefficients indicated that SNS addiction facilitates the severity of OCD and the corresponding obsessiveness and compulsiveness. Similarly, the Sobel test supported the significance of the suppressor effects, excluding the effects of SNS addiction and SNS intensity on the obsessive subscale.

### Discussion

This research has addressed the overlap of SNS intensity and SNS addiction in predicting the measured severity of OCD and its corresponding factors of obsession and compulsion. Although it is still unclear as to whether SNS intensity is a prerequisite of SNS addiction or not (Błachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Orosz et al., 2016; Xie & Karan, 2019), the positive relationship found in this research corroborates the theoretical overlap of these two aspects. Hence, this research has successfully replicated the overlap of SNS intensity and SNS addiction (Błachnio et al., 2015; Błachnio et al., 2017; Błachnio & Przepiorka, 2016; Błachnio, Przepiorka, & Pantic, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Brailovskaia et al., 2019; Orosz et al., 2016; Przepiorka & Błachnio, 2016; Turley et al., 2019). Moreover, the positive correlation suggests that these aspects are conceptually similar without redundancy. This also supports the fact that the theoretical construct of SNS addiction consists of active engagement on SNS. Hence, the prerequisite of SNS intensity is plausibly valid (Błachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Orosz et al., 2016; Xie & Karan, 2019).

---

**Table 3. Summary of Hierarchical Regression.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor/s</th>
<th>OCD</th>
<th>Obsessive</th>
<th>Compulsive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS addiction</td>
<td>0.41***</td>
<td>0.33***</td>
<td>5.01***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2 = .11, R(1, 202) = 25.10***$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS addiction</td>
<td>0.52***</td>
<td>0.42***</td>
<td>5.45***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS intensity</td>
<td>-0.18*</td>
<td>-0.17*</td>
<td>-2.21*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2 = .13, \Delta R^2 = .02, R(2, 201) = 15.23***, Sobel test = -2.06*$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS intensity</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2 = .003, R(1, 202) = 0.59$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS intensity</td>
<td>-0.18*</td>
<td>-0.17*</td>
<td>-2.21*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS addiction</td>
<td>0.52***</td>
<td>0.42***</td>
<td>5.45***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2 = .13, \Delta R^2 = .13, R(2, 201) = 15.23***, Sobel test = -2.06*$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$. 

---
The research also found that addiction towards SNS correlated significantly with the measured severity of OCD and its factors. However, the measured SNS intensity did not correlate significantly with each factor measured for OCD. These findings supported the decision to designate SNS intensity as the suppressor variable in the first predictive model (see Horst, 1941) in addition to interpreting the corresponding results as suppression instead of mediation (see MacKinnon et al., 2000). Results of the hierarchical regression further supported the significance of the measured SNS intensity as a suppressor variable, where its entry enhanced the predictive power of SNS addiction. This exemplifies the case of classical suppression (Horst, 1941). The common variance of SNS addiction and SNS intensity was removed, and thus, bolstered the predictive power of SNS addiction. Even though the existing literature has not studied the overlapping aspects of SNS intensity and SNS addiction, theoretically speaking, these two constructs share the same high levels of active SNS use (Orosz et al., 2016). By removing this common variance, the specific experiences reflected by these aspects predicted the measured severity of OCD and its factors in different manners.

After removing its common variance with SNS intensity, SNS addiction was stimulating the development of clinical symptoms such as OCD. Based on these findings, it can be deduced that SNS addiction does not link solely to depression (e.g., da Veiga et al., 2019; Foroughi et al., 2019), but also other clinical symptoms including OCD (Andreassen et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2015). The anticipated positive relationship of SNS addiction and the severity of OCD was supported by this research, suggesting that unregulated SNS use increases with the progression and severity of OCD. This result is also consistent with a past study that discovered the increased severity of OCD amongst individuals with poor control of their repetitive behaviours (Kashyap et al., 2012). Also, this corroborates Lee et al.’s (2015) findings, such that the measured addictive use of SNS predicted the severity of OCD through cognitive patterns that are exclusive to OCD. The same research revealed that the inability to regulate usage habits of SNS might be a manifestation of OCD.

In terms of the present study, it has been found that there is a positive link between SNS addiction and the measured obsession, suggesting problematic cognitions (Lee et al., 2015) and urges (Hofmann et al., 2012) as origins of SNS addiction. The results also illustrated that individuals with high levels of SNS addiction are vulnerable to compulsion. Since frequent use of SNS is needed to alleviate these urges (Hofmann et al., 2012) and stress-provoking thoughts (Lee et al., 2015), the sense of relief that one receives further reinforces the unregulated use of SNS to achieve the same euphoric experience (Grassi, 2016). Overall, it has been observed that the present findings consolidated the theoretical link between SNS addiction and OCD.

Upon removing its common variance with SNS addiction, the measured SNS intensity emerged as a negative predictor of the measured severity of OCD and its compulsion factor and did not significantly predict the obsession factor of OCD. This is consistent with the facilitative effects discovered in previous research which revealed that individuals with low self-esteem and low life satisfaction were able to elicit social benefits through intense SNS use (e.g., Ellison et al., 2007).

Similarly, other research has suggested that SNS intensity is related to meaningful usages such that SNS act as platforms to maintain connectedness with other users online (López et al., 2019). These underlying SNS usage patterns reflect on different strategies that users have employed to establish and to cultivate social benefits through this network (Ellison et al., 2011; Ellison et al., 2014). Considering its capacity to cultivate social capital, SNS intensity can be useful in mitigating the impacts of stressful situations (Blachnio et al., 2017) and clinical symptoms. Nevertheless, it should be highlighted that the protective aspect of SNS intensity was not evident previously (Labrague, 2014) due to its overlap with SNS addiction that obscured its adaptive aspects. The present research has, therefore, demonstrated that the removal of this overlap unleashed the protective feature of SNS intensity. This is evident, such that it is capable of reducing the severity of OCD and compulsiveness, except for obsession. Additionally, SNS intensity did not relate meaningfully to obsessive thoughts, which was found to induce SNS addiction (Lee et al., 2015). This implies that problematic cognitive patterns (Lee et al., 2015) and urges (Hofmann et al., 2012) that underlie unregulated use of SNS are irrelevant to SNS intensity. Hence, as depicted by a recent finding on its overlap with online connection strategies (López et al., 2019), this construct denotes that highly regulated use of SNS will eventually elicit social benefits (e.g., Ellison et al., 2007). The present findings consolidated the adaptive aspects of SNS intensity by positing it as a construct that is in contrast with psychological constructs that are suggestive of impairments, such as OCD and its corresponding symptoms.
Furthermore, the differences in the outcomes for SNS addiction and SNS intensity exemplify that these two are distinctive concepts. These findings further refute previous studies that considered SNS intensity as a prerequisite to SNS addiction (Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Orosz et al., 2016; Xie & Karan, 2019). Instead, the research outcome suggests that these two aspects are mutually exclusive when the common variance has been diminished. This research further recommends for SNS intensity and SNS addiction to be interpreted as two separate constructs. As depicted in a previous study, while SNS can be helpful and valuable platforms that enable users to cope with stressful situations effectively, users who are socially inhibited are prone to developing SNS addiction and SNS intensity (Blachnio et al., 2017). The distinction between SNS addiction and SNS intensity is vague in the existing literature, and both have been interpreted as a similar construct. Contrastingly, the present findings suggest that only SNS intensity is protective against pathological aspects, and SNS addiction is not theoretically adaptive to all users since this construct has been linked consistently to severe OCD and its symptoms. Thus, assuming that both aspects are equivalent is erroneous since this assumption will introduce complications in the interpretation of results. This further demonstrates the significance of this research such that it has successfully highlighted the conceptual distinction between the overlapping constructs of SNS addiction and SNS intensity. Thus, this research cautions researchers against the jingle fallacy (Thorndike, 1904) in inferring the similarities between these two disparate concepts.

This research has several inherent limitations, notwithstanding its aforementioned values. Although SNS usage has been linked to several psychological outcomes, the present research findings were only limited to one clinical outcome of OCD. There is also a concern on the replicability of the demonstrated suppression since it is uncertain whether or not the demonstrated suppression applies to other psychological outcomes, such as life satisfaction that has been linked to both SNS addiction (e.g., Satici, 2019) and SNS intensity (e.g., Valenzuela et al., 2009). Therefore, this limitation marks a valuable prospect for future research on this topic. The unequal demographics of the present sample also imposed a limit to the generalisability of the research outcome. Although this study has produced significant results, the correlational design employed does not allow for causal interpretations to be made. Accordingly, the causal link between SNS use and OCD remains unresolved; it is still unclear whether SNS addiction can induce OCD, or vice-versa. The fixation on correlational design is due to the reliance on self-reported measures that are susceptible to responding bias. At the point of this investigation, there was no acceptable procedure to manipulate the usage of SNS and the severity of OCD. Upcoming research should, thus, consider employing the relevant procedures to address this limitation adequately.

In conclusion, this research has successfully disentangled the overlap between SNS intensity and SNS addiction in predicting OCD and its factors through suppression analyses. By delineating this overlap, SNS intensity and SNS addiction predicted the measured severity of OCD differently, where the former SNS intensity predicted the severity of OCD negatively, while the latter addictive tendencies toward SNS predicted it positively. This implies that SNS intensity and SNS addiction operate on a different continuum, contrasting with the extant assumption that SNS intensity is embedded in SNS addiction (Blachnio, Przepiorka, & Hawi, 2016; Brailovskaia et al., 2018; Orosz et al., 2016; Xie & Karan, 2019). Thus, this research cautions researchers against the jingle fallacy in interpreting the constructs of SNS intensity and SNS addiction. However, due to difficulties to replicate the results of suppression analyses (Paulhus et al., 2004; Wiggins, 1973), ensuing efforts are necessary to ensure the robustness of these results. There are positive prospects for future studies to expand on this research topic by incorporating multiple outcomes.
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