

Machackova, H., Pfetsch, J., & Steffgen, G. (2017). Editorial: Special issue on bystanders of online aggression. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 12(4). <https://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-xx>

## Editorial: Special issue on bystanders of online aggression

Hana Machackova<sup>1</sup>, Jan Pfetsch<sup>2</sup>, & Georges Steffgen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Social Studies, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup> Department of Educational Psychology, Technische Universität, Berlin, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Language and Literature, Humanities, Arts and Education, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

Online aggression — and especially cyberbullying — are topics which have gained substantial attention from researchers as well as public in the past years. While online aggression denotes any aggressive incidents conducted through information and communication technology, cyberbullying is a specific form of this aggressive behavior characterized by the repetition of intended harm via digital media (see e.g., Menesini & Nocentini, 2009; Smith & Steffgen, 2013). Though the first years of research in this field were dominated by a focus on victimization, there is currently growing attention centered on the experiences of those who witness aggressive incidents online — that is, the bystanders of online aggression.

This attention on bystanders is highly warranted since their roles are often pivotal in the whole process. Online aggression often takes place in the virtual presence of bystanders (Jones, Mitchell, & Turner, 2015). Similar to offline aggression and bullying (Cowie & Hutson, 2005; Salmivalli, 2010), the responses and reactions of bystanders can influence the course and consequences of the online incidents (Pfetsch, Steffgen, Gollwitzer, & Ittel, 2011). These responses can take on many forms (Pfetsch, 2016; Shultz, Heilman, & Hart 2014), including, in general, offering support to the victim, reinforcing the aggressive acts, or remaining passive.

To understand bystander reactions, prior research focused on several individual characteristics, such as age and gender differences, empathy, coping, self-efficacy, anxiety and loneliness, or prior victimization (e.g., Barlińska, Szuster, & Winiewski, 2013; Machackova & Pfetsch, 2016; Olenik-Shemesh, Heiman, & Eden, 2017; Steffgen, Costa, & Slee, 2018; Van Cleemput, Vandebosch, & Pabian, 2014). Moreover, attention has been given to the specific context of the online incidents. Though there is ongoing fruitful discussion concerning the specificity of the online aggression, especially with regard to bullying and cyberbullying (Menesini, 2012; Olweus, 2012; Olweus & Limber, 2018), there are several features of online aggression which should be recognized because they can create the specific context which may affect bystanders' responses. For instance, online bystanders can be distant from all of the actors and they can be invisible and unidentifiable, while the other actors can be also unknown and invisible to the bystanders (Dooley, Pyżalski, & Cross, 2009; Slonje & Smith, 2008; Sticca & Perren, 2013). Contextual factors, such as anonymity or proximity, have also been examined, as well as other factors, including the relationship to a victim or aggressor (e.g., Brody & Vangelisti, 2016; Machackova, Dedkova, Sevcikova, & Cerna, 2016; Sticca & Perren, 2013).

So far, the research on the bystanders of online aggression has provided some explanations concerning the nature of their responses. However, many questions still remain unanswered or require more robust empirical evidence. These questions concern, for instance, the contextual factors which differentiate the responses of the bystanders of offline and online aggression, the assessments of the severity of the online incidents, and the interplay between the individual and contextual factors. Responding to the need to gain more insight into such topics, we decided to launch a special issue to address the different aspects related to the bystanders of online aggression.

## The Papers in This Issue

An invitation for submissions for a special issue on the Bystanders of Online Aggression was published in January 2018. Our call was open to studies of diverse bystander populations. We invited contributions which would examine the different aspects related to online aggression, including issues related to bystanders' assessments of as well as responses to the incidents. We were interested in papers which would focus on the role of and interplay between individual and contextual factors in these regards, and which would (directly or indirectly) enrich the prevention and intervention efforts. We also invited articles which would provide empirical analyses of theoretical models and which would use unique and innovative methods.

Following this call, we received 24 research abstracts, of which 12 were invited to submit a full paper. Each full paper was reviewed by two respected scholars in the field, at least one special issue editor, and the regular editors. In the end, six final papers were included in this issue. We believe that these papers provide deeper understanding and insight into the studied issues and present unique contribution to the field.

The first study in this issue (Domínguez-Hernández, Bonell, & Martínez-González, 2018) is a systematic literature review focusing on youth bystanders of cyberbullying and online aggression. Though there are still many questions and research gaps in the field, past research has already brought insights into the factors which may play a role in bystander responses towards online aggression. Acknowledging this, the authors center their review on the personal and contextual factors which may facilitate or inhibit the bystanders' actions. They provide a systematic overview of these factors, discuss their interplay in the online aggressive incidents, and provide practical recommendations.

The second article (Schultze-Krumbholz, Hess, Pfetsch, & Scheithauer, 2018) elaborates on the role of personal factors in cyberbullying. Using a data-driven and person-oriented approach, and overcoming the classical differentiation among bullies, victims, and bystanders, this paper offers deeper insight into the participant roles of cyberbullying. The results of a Latent Class Analysis identify five roles in cyberbullying, differentiating, for example, between aggressive and prosocial bystanders. Moreover, the authors define the specific groups of participants via examined associations with aggression, self-esteem, and empathy. This study thus offers more elaborated and detailed insight into possible involvement in cyberbullying and it helps to understand which youth tend to respond and engage in cyberbullying.

The next two articles use theoretical frameworks which have proven fruitful in the explanation of bystander responses to cyberbullying (Allison & Bussey, 2016): the Bystander Intervention Model (Latané & Darley, 1970) and Social Cognitive Theory (Bandura, 1986).

The third article in the issue (Knauf, Eschenbeck, & Hock, 2018) compares the social-cognitive and affective reactions to the self-reported experiences of witnessing bullying and cyberbullying. The findings show that bystanders of cyberbullying incidents reported stronger moral disengagement, lower feelings of responsibility, lower defender self-efficacy, and, surprisingly, lower negative outcome expectations for defending. Against expectations, there were no differences in empathy towards the victim. Considering these findings, this study contributes and elaborates upon the discussion centered on the differences between bullying and cyberbullying.

The question concerning the cognitive processes that affect the willingness to help the victim was also examined in detail in an experimental study that manipulated the severity of the aggressive incident (Koehler & Weber, 2018). The authors of this fourth article show that the incidents that were assessed as more severe triggered a higher tendency to help the victim, while less severe incidents ended in a higher tendency to blame the victim, which is connected with lower tendency to provide help. Thus, this article contributes to existing research by showing how different types of online aggressive incidents prompt bystander responses and what is the role of cognitive processes.

A different and novel approach was adopted in the fifth article (Ouvrein, Backer, & Vandebosch, 2018), which focuses on the negativity and aggression in celebrity-news articles and the reader discussions that follow. Qualitative and quantitative content analysis showed how readers respond to diverse types of news, pointing out the role of the articles' tone and topic. The authors also describe different strategies and types of aggressive-

reader responses and discuss the “negativity spiral”. Their findings contribute to the understanding of the types and dynamics of aggression within news-audience comments and the diverse strategies applied in response to aggressive content.

The final paper of this special issue (Wright, Wachs, & Harper, 2018) examines a topic which has not been thoroughly addressed before in the empirical research — the negative psychological impact of the bystanders’ experiences (in the form of increased anxiety and depression). Though there is a body of research on the consequences of cybervictimization, the research on bystanders is scarce in this regard. However, understanding the impact of these experiences is important for prevention and intervention efforts, especially in the adolescent population, which is in the focus of this study. Using a longitudinal design, the authors provide evidence for the harmful impact of witnessing online aggression, especially for youth with higher empathy. Based on these findings, the authors formulate specific implications and enrich the discussion concerning the role of empathy in bystanders’ experiences with online aggression.

As guest editors, we would like to thank all the authors and all the reviewers who so kindly helped and contributed to this special issue; we would also like to thank the editors of *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace* for great and smooth collaboration.

We hope that this special issue provides new insights into the topic and that it will enrich academic and public discussions concerning bystanders of online aggression.

## References

- Allison, K. R., & Bussey, K. (2016). Cyber-bystanding in context: A review of the literature on witnesses' responses to cyberbullying. *Children and Youth Services Review, 65*, 183-194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2016.03.026>
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Barlińska, J., Szuster, A., & Winiewski, M. (2013). Cyberbullying among adolescent bystanders: Role of the communication medium, form of violence, and empathy. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology, 23*, 37-51. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2137>
- Brody, N., & Vangelisti, A. L. (2016). Bystander intervention in cyberbullying. *Communication Monographs, 83*, 94-119. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03637751.2015.1044256>
- Cowie, H., & Hutson, N. (2005). Peer support: A strategy to help bystanders challenge school bullying. *Pastoral Care in Education, 23*(2), 40-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0264-3944.2005.00331.x>
- Domínguez-Hernández, F., Bonell, L., & Martínez-González, A. (2018). A systematic literature review of factors that moderate bystanders’ actions in cyberbullying. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace, 12*(4), article 1. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-1>
- Dooley, J. J., Pyżalski, J., & Cross, D. (2009). Cyberbullying versus face-to-face bullying. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie/Journal of Psychology, 217*, 182-188. <https://doi.org/10.1027/0044-3409.217.4.182>
- Jones, L. M., Mitchell, K. J., & Turner, H. A. (2015). Victim reports of bystander reactions to in-person and online peer harassment: A national survey of adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 44*, 2308-2320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-015-0342-9>
- Knauf, R., Eschenbeck, H., & Hock, M. (2018). Bystanders of bullying: Social-cognitive and affective reactions to school bullying and cyberbullying. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace, 12*(4), article 3. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-3>

- Koehler, C., & Weber, M. (2018). "Do I really need to help?!" Perceived severity of cyberbullying, victim blaming, and bystanders' willingness to help the victim. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 12(4), article 4. <https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-4>
- Latané, B., & Darley, J. M. (1970). *The unresponsive bystander: Why doesn't he help?* New York: Appleton-Century-Croft.
- Machackova, H., & Pfetsch, J. (2016). Bystanders' responses to offline bullying and cyberbullying: The role of empathy and normative beliefs about aggression. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 57, 169-176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12277>
- Machackova, H., Dedkova, L., Sevcikova, A., & Cerna, A. (2016). Empathic responses by cyberbystanders: The importance of proximity. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 19, 793-804. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2015.1112882>
- Menesini, E. (2012). Cyberbullying: The right value of the phenomenon. Comments on the paper "Cyberbullying: An overrated phenomenon?". *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 9, 544-552. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2012.706449>
- Menesini, E., & Nocentini, A. (2009). Cyberbullying definition and measurement: Some critical considerations. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie/Journal of Psychology*, 217, 230-232. <https://doi.org/10.1027/0044-3409.217.4.230>
- Olenik-Shemesh, D., Heiman, T., & Eden, S. (2017). Bystanders' behavior in cyberbullying episodes: Active and passive patterns in the context of personal-socio-emotional factors. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 32, 23-48. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260515585531>
- Olweus, D. (2012). Cyberbullying: An overrated phenomenon?. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 9, 520-538. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2012.682358>
- Olweus, D., & Limber, S. P. (2018). Some problems with cyberbullying research. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 19, 139-143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.04.012>
- Ouvrein, G., De Backer, C. J., & Vandebosch, H. (2018). Joining the clash or refusing to bash? Bystanders reactions to online celebrity bashing. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 12(4), article 5. <https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-5>
- Pfetsch, J. (2016). Who is who in cyberbullying? Conceptual and empirical perspectives on bystanders in cyberbullying. In M. F. Wright (Ed.), *A social-ecological approach to cyberbullying* (pp. 121-149). Hauppauge: Nova Publishing.
- Pfetsch, J., Steffgen, G., Gollwitzer, M., & Ittel, A. (2011). Prevention of aggression in schools through a bystander intervention training. *International Journal of Developmental Science*, 5, 139-149. <https://doi.org/10.3233/DEV-2011-11078>
- Salmivalli, C. (2010). Bullying and the peer group: A review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 15, 112-120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2009.08.007>
- Schultze-Krumbholz, A., Hess, M., Pfetsch, J., & Scheithauer, H. (2018). Who is involved in cyberbullying? Latent class analysis of cyberbullying roles and their associations with aggression, self-esteem, and empathy. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 12(4), article 2. <https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-2>
- Shultz, E., Heilman, R., & Hart, K. J. (2014). Cyber-bullying: An exploration of bystander behavior and motivation. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 8(4), article 3. <https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2014-4-3>

Slonje, R., & Smith, P. K. (2008). Cyberbullying: Another main type of bullying?. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 49, 147-154. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9450.2007.00611.x>

Smith, P. K., & Steffgen, G. (2013). *Cyberbullying through the new media. Findings from an international network*. New York: Psychology Press.

Steffgen, G., Costa, A. P., & Slee, P. T. (2018). The coping of bystanders with cyberbullying in an adolescent population. In P. T. Slee, G. Skrzypiec, & C. Cefai (Eds.), *Child and adolescent wellbeing and violence prevention in schools* (pp. 129-137). London: Routledge.

Sticca, F., & Perren, S. (2013). Is cyberbullying worse than traditional bullying? Examining the differential roles of medium, publicity, and anonymity for the perceived severity of bullying. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 42, 739-750. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-012-9867-3>

Van Cleemput, K., Vandebosch, H., & Pabian, S. (2014). Personal characteristics and contextual factors that determine "helping," "joining in," and "doing nothing" when witnessing cyberbullying. *Aggressive Behavior*, 40, 383-396. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.21534>

Wright, M. F., Wachs, S., & Harper, B. D. (2018). The moderation of empathy in the longitudinal association between witnessing cyberbullying, depression, and anxiety. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 12(4), article 6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-6>

## Issue Content

### Editorial

#### **Editorial: Special issue on bystanders of online aggression**

Hana Machackova, Jan Pfetsch and Georges Steffgen

<https://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-xx>

### Articles

Article 1:

#### **A systematic literature review of factors that moderate bystanders' actions in cyberbullying**

Fernando Domínguez-Hernández, Lars Bonell and Alejandro Martínez-González

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-1>

Article 2:

#### **Who is involved in cyberbullying? Latent class analysis of cyberbullying roles and their associations with aggression, self-esteem, and empathy**

Anja Schultze-Krumbholz, Markus Hess, Jan Pfetsch and Herbert Scheithauer

<https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-2>

Article 3:

#### **Bystanders of bullying: Social-cognitive and affective reactions to school bullying and cyberbullying**

Rhea-Katharina Knauf, Heike Eschenbeck, and Michael Hock

<https://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-3>

Article 4:

#### **"Do I really need to help?!" Perceived severity of cyberbullying, victim blaming, and bystanders' willingness to help the victim**

Christina Koehler and Mathias Weber

<https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-4>

Article 5:

**Joining the clash or refusing to bash? Bystanders reactions to online celebrity bashing**

Gaëlle Ouvrein, Charlotte J.S. De Backer and Heidi Vandebosch

<https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-5>

Article 6:

**The moderation of empathy in the longitudinal association between witnessing cyberbullying, depression, and anxiety**

Michelle F. Wright, Sebastian Wachs and Bridgette D. Harper

<https://dx.doi.org/10.5817/CP2018-4-6>

## About the Journal

The *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace* is a web-based, peer-reviewed scholarly journal. The first peer-reviewed issue was published in September 2007. The journal is focused on social science research about cyberspace. It brings psychosocial reflections of the impact of the Internet on people and society. The journal is interdisciplinary, publishing works written by scholars of psychology, media studies, communication science, sociology, political science, nursing, ICT security, organizational psychology and also other disciplines with relevance to psychosocial aspects of cyberspace. The journal accepts original research articles, as well as theoretical studies and research meta-analyses. Proposals for special issues are also welcomed.

The journal is indexed with Web of Science (Social Science Citation Index and Current Contents - Social & Behavioral Sciences), SCOPUS, ERIH PLUS, EBSCO Academic Search Complete, the Directory of Open Access Journals and the Czech Database of Scientific Journals.

The articles in *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace* are open access articles licensed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License](#) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

## Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

*Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace* follows the standard for Ethics and Publication Malpractice set by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). Authors, reviewers, editors, and publisher are expected to conform to these standards.

## Editor

Prof. David Smahel, M.Sc. et Ph.D., Faculty of Social Studies, Masaryk University, Czech Republic

E-mail: [smahel\(at\)fss.muni.cz](mailto:smahel@fss.muni.cz)

## Associate Editors

Prof. Kristian Daneback, Ph.D., University of Gothenburg, Sweden

E-mail: [kristian.daneback\(at\)socwork.gu.se](mailto:kristian.daneback@socwork.gu.se)

Prof. Michel Walrave, Ph.D., University of Antwerp, Belgium

E-mail: [michel.walrave\(at\)uantwerpen.be](mailto:michel.walrave@uantwerpen.be)

Lenka Dedkova, Ph.D., Masaryk University, Czech Republic

E-mail: [ldedkova\(at\)fss.muni.cz](mailto:ldedkova@fss.muni.cz)

## Managing Editor

Lenka Dedkova, Ph.D., Faculty of Social Studies, Masaryk University, Czech Republic  
E-mail: [ldedkova@fss.muni.cz](mailto:ldedkova@fss.muni.cz)

## **Editorial Assistant**

Marie Bedrošová, M.A. & M.A., Masaryk University, Czech Republic  
E-mail: [marie.bedrosova@mail.muni.cz](mailto:marie.bedrosova@mail.muni.cz)

## **Guest Editors of Special Issue “Bystanders of Online Aggression”**

Hana Machackova, Ph.D., Masaryk University, Czech Republic  
Email: [hmachack@fss.muni.cz](mailto:hmachack@fss.muni.cz)

Jan Pfetsch, Ph.D., Technische Universität, Germany  
Email: [jan.pfetsch@tu-berlin.de](mailto:jan.pfetsch@tu-berlin.de)

Georges Steffgen, Ph.D., University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg  
Email: [georges.steffgen@uni.lu](mailto:georges.steffgen@uni.lu)

## **Editorial Board**

Prof. Jochen Peter, Ph.D., University of Amsterdam, Netherlands  
Prof. Veronika Kalmus, Ph.D., University of Tartu, Estonia  
Prof. Gustavo S. Mesch, Ph.D., University of Haifa, Israel  
Prof. Kaveri Subrahmanyam, Ph.D., California State University, Los Angeles, USA  
Prof. Herbert Hrachovec, Ph.D., University of Vienna, Austria  
Prof. Dr. Micheline Frenette, Université de Montréal, Canada  
Prof. Alexander E. Voiskounsky, Ph.D., Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia  
Prof. Michael W. Ross, Ph.D., DrMedSc, MPH, MPHEd, University of Texas, Houston, USA  
Prof. Olle Findahl, World Internet Institute, Sweden  
Prof. Joshua Fogel, Ph.D., Brooklyn College of the City University of New York, USA  
Prof. Lelia Green, Ph.D., Edith Cowan University, Australia  
Prof. Andra Siibak, Ph.D., University of Tartu, Estonia  
Hana Machackova, Ph.D., Masaryk University, Czech Republic  
Michelle Wright, Ph.D., Pennsylvania State University, USA  
Václav Štětko, Ph.D., Loughborough University, United Kingdom  
Adjunct Prof. Birgit U. Stetina, Ph.D., University of Vienna, Austria  
Anna Sevcikova, Ph.D., Masaryk University, Czech Republic

## **Advisory Board**

Prof. Bente Traen, Ph.D., University of Oslo, Norway  
Prof. Charles Ess, Ph.D., University of Oslo, Norway  
Prof. Dr. Ilse Kryspin-Exner, University of Vienna, Austria  
Prof. PhDr. Jan Jiráček, Ph.D., Charles University, Czech Republic  
Prof. Vasja Vehovar, Ph.D., University of Ljubljana, Slovenia  
Prof. Larry D. Rosen, Ph.D., California State University, USA  
Prof. Patricia M. Greenfield, Ph.D., University of California, USA  
Prof. Peter K Smith, University of London, England  
Prof. Petr Macek, CSc., Masaryk University, Czech Republic  
Prof. Nicola Döring, Ilmenau University of Technology, Germany  
Prof. Kimberly Young, Ph.D., St. Bonaventure University, USA

Prof. Jos de Haan, Ph.D., Erasmus University, Netherlands  
Prof. Monica Whitty, Ph.D., The University of Melbourne, Australia & The University of Warwick, UK  
Prof. Alistair Duff, Ph.D., Edinburgh Napier University, Scotland  
Assoc. Prof. Alfred Choi, Ph.D., Nanyang Technological University, Singapore  
Prof. Thurasamy Ramayah, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia  
Assoc. Prof. Neil Coulson, Ph.D., The University of Nottingham, UK  
Assoc. Prof. Kenneth C. C. Yang, Ph.D., University of Texas at El Paso, USA  
Assoc. Prof. Sun Sun Lim, Ph.D., National University of Singapore, Singapore  
Prof. Sameer Hinduja, Ph.D., Florida Atlantic University, USA  
Assoc. Prof. Jana Horáková, Ph.D., Masaryk University, Czech Republic  
Assoc. Prof. Radim Polčák, Ph.D., Masaryk University, Czech Republic  
Assoc. Prof. Pille Pruulmann-Vengerfeldt, Ph.D., University of Tartu, Estonia  
Assist. Prof. Alexander Schouten, Ph.D., Tilburg University, Netherlands  
Assist. Prof. Ewa S. Callahan, Ph.D., Quinnipiac University, USA  
Assist. Prof. Regina van den Eijnden, Ph.D., Utrecht University, Netherlands  
Assist. Prof. Veysel Demirer, Ph.D., Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi, Turkey  
PhDr. Ing. Petr Soukup, Charles University, Czech Republic  
Janis Wolak, Ph.D., University of New Hampshire, USA  
Francesca Romana Seganti, Ph.D., Sapienza University of Rome, Italy  
Jeff Gavin, Ph.D., University of Bath, UK  
Michael Fenichel, Ph.D., New York, USA  
Leslie Haddon, Ph.D., London School of Economics, UK  
Fabio Sticca, Ph.D., Marie Meierhofer Institut für das Kind, Zürich, Switzerland

## **Publisher**

Masaryk University, Faculty of Social Studies  
Jostova 10, 60200 Brno  
Czech Republic

## **Publication Schedule**

Four issues per year: two regular issues (in July and December) plus two special issues (between regular issues).



## About Guest Editors

**Hana Machackova**, Ph.D., is a researcher at Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, where she works at the Institute for Research on Children, Youth, and Family. She is a member of the [Interdisciplinary Research Team on Internet and Society](#) (IRTIS), which researches the social-psychological implications of the internet and technology. Her research interests include online aggression and cyberbullying (with specific focus on cyberbystanders), online communities, online credibility assessment, online civic participation, and the impact of technology usage on well-being. She has participated in several national and international projects concerning the use of ICT, such as EU Kids Online and the COST project Coping Strategies with Cyberbullying among Adolescents.

**Jan Pfetsch**, Ph.D., is a guest professor and the deputy head of the Department of Educational Psychology at Technische Universität, Berlin, Germany. He developed a bystander intervention training program in the context of offline bullying at school. His current research focuses on cyberbullying (especially cyberbystanders), empathy in offline and online contexts, media use and media literacy, the development of competencies in teacher training (especially diagnostic competence), learning with digital media, and aggressive and prosocial behavior in children and adolescents.

**Georges Steffgen**, Ph.D., is a professor of social psychology and the head of the research group Health Promotion and Aggression Prevention at the University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg. His current research focuses on aggression (violence, cyberbullying) and emotion regulation. He has been the project manager of national and international scientific projects on violence in school, victimization, and the quality of work. He has authored more than 50 papers in international journals, and he is the editor or co-editor of 16 books. He co-chaired COST Action IS0801 on Cyberbullying.